

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DAMIEN DARNELL HARRIS,

Petitioner,

v.

MIKE OBENLAND,

Respondent.

CASE NO. 3:15-cv-05191-RJB

ORDER

THIS ORDER comes before the Court on Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. 74) and Motion for Leave to Appeal in Forma Pauperis (Dkt. 76).

The Court previously issued judgment against Petitioner, denying the § 2254 petition and certificate of appealability. Dkt. 71, 73.

Under LCR 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied unless there is a showing of either manifest error or new facts or legal authority that could not have been discovered with reasonable diligence. Petitioner has not made the requisite showing, because he raises no new facts or legal authority, and he does not identify a manifest error. Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. 74) is DENIED.

1 The Court previously granted Petitioner's for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. Dkt. 69.  
2 Petitioner's request (Dkt. 76) is duplicative, and it is DENIED as moot.

3 Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May, 2016.

4   
5

6 ROBERT J. BRYAN  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24